1	wo			
2				
3				
4				
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
6	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
7 8 9		of America,) Plaintiff,)	No. 09-6011-M	
10	vs. Margarito Flores-Cordero,		ORDER	
11	Defendant.			
12 13	Having considered the Parties' Joint Motion to allow the government additional time			
14	under the Speedy Trial Act to file an indictment, the Court finds that the ends of justice served			
15	by granting the extension outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy			
16	trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).			
17	In making this finding, the Court has considered each of the factors specified in 18			
18	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B). In addition, the Court has considered the following:			
19	1.	Counsel has only recently be	een appointed;	
20	2.	The defendant wishes to congovernment;	nsider the plea offer extended by the	
21			vestigate possible defenses prior to	
22		considering the government's	e government's plea offer, which is made pursuant to early disposition program authorized by the	
23	Department of Justice p Remedies and Tools Ag		nant to § 401(m) of the Prosecuting the Exploitation of Children Today	
24), Pub. L. 108-21, 117 Stat. 650 (Apr.	
2526	4.	the court, would likely reduce	if accepted by the defendant and then e defendant's exposure to a significant	
27		term of imprisonment;		
28	5.	indictment, the government	imely accept the plea offer prior to will withdraw said plea offer and any rindictment would likely be less nt;	

- 6. Failure to extend time for indictment in this instance would thus operate to bar defendant from reviewing the government's plea offer in a meaningful way prior to indictment; and
- 7. Granting an extension of time for indictment in this case is likely to result in the case being resolved earlier, which would further the public's interest in the timely and efficient administration of justice; and
- 8. The ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy indictment.

The Court therefore concludes that the ends of justice are best served by granting an extension of time to present the case to the grand jury and in excluding a period of thirty (30) days under the Speedy Trial Act. In making this determination, the Court has particularly taken into account that the failure to grant the defendant's request "would deny counsel for the defendant. . .the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Extend Time to Indict (Doc. 6), requesting an extension of thirty (30) days within which the government may seek to indict defendant, is hereby granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, the Government shall have an extension of thirty (30) days to file a timely Indictment. Excludable time shall begin to run on the 31st day after arrest for a period of thirty (30) days in which the government may present the case to the grand jury.

DATED this 22nd day of January, 2009.

Michelle H. Burns

United States Magistrate Judge